

Pages 1 through 2 redacted for the following reasons:

Section 78B(2) RTI Act

RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR



Hon Phil Reeves MP
Member for Mansfield

COPY



**Queensland
Government**

Our reference: ML/11 /1934
COM 09774-2011

**Minister for Child Safety
and Minister for Sport**

31 AUG 2011

Mr Ian Rickuss MP
Member for Lockyer
Shop 1/47 North Street
GATTON QLD 4343

Dear Mr Rickuss

Thank you for your letter of 26 July 2011 on behalf of Ripcord Skydiving Club Inc concerning the Sport and Recreation Flood Fightback Plan - Equipment Program acquittal.

Community Services, Sport and Recreation, Department of Communities funded the Ripcord Skydiving Club to replace essential equipment damaged in the flood event of January 2011. In accordance with the program guidelines, clubs were entitled to apply for funding to replace sport and recreation training or playing equipment, and sport and recreation canteen or office equipment.

The department only funded the training and playing equipment component of the application, however, when the Club attempted to acquit the project, they included a number of items that were ineligible under the program. The department has invited the Club to submit a funding variation request to include playing or training equipment not previously identified in their current application to be subsequently covered by the grant.

If you require any further information or assistance in relation to this matter, please contact Mr Stephen Gay, Principal Advisor in my office on 3224 7477.

I trust this information is of assistance.

Yours sincerely

Phil Reeves MP
Minister for Child Safety
and Minister for Sport
Member for Mansfield

Level 7
111 George Street Brisbane
GPO Box 806 Brisbane
Queensland 4001 Australia
Telephone +61 7 3224 7477
Facsimile +61 7 3210 2190
Email childsafety@ministerial.qld.gov.au
Website www.childsafety.qld.gov.au
ABN 65 959 415 158

Ministerial Reference:

ML registered 27/7/11

ML/ 11 / 1234

ML due date 26/8/11

MINISTER FOR CHILD SAFETY AND MINISTER FOR SPORT
MP CORRESPONDENCE ACTION SHEET

☐ Child Safety ☒ Sport and Rec ☐ Stadiums Qld ☐ Electorate Office

Please provide:

- ☒ Letter of Acknowledgement / Thankyou / Noted
☒ Letter of Response and Correspondence Brief
☐ Briefing Note
☐ Action
☐ Information

- ☐ Letter of Referral
☐ Meeting Brief
☐ PPQ Brief (email CLLO Unit)
☐ Other

Signed by:

- ☒ Response: Minister - Honourable Phil Reeves MP
☐ Response: A/Principal Advisor - Stephen Gay
☒ Response / Acknowledgement: A/Senior Policy Advisor - Phil Weightman
☐ Acknowledgement: Policy Advisor - Joanna Whitaker / Christopher Piggott-McKellar

Minister's comments:

Date: / /2011

Ministerial Advisor's comments:

letter of response to both the MP & the constituent.
letter acknowledge ment to both also

Sch4-Signature

Initials 27/7/2011

Registered on Mincor ☐

Letter of Acknowledgement ☐

/ /10

Departmental Reference:

COM 09774-2011

EXECUTIVE SERVICES

RECEIVED

28 JUL 2011

Departmental Liaison Officer's comments:

Min response
Please note comments above

Initial 4-Signat

28/07/2011

Directorate / Work Unit:

SRS

Due Date:

~~PRIVATE &~~
CONFIDENTIAL

Ministerial Reference:

ML registered 31 / 10 / 11

ML / 11 / 2851

ML due date 7 / 11 / 11

**MINISTER FOR CHILD SAFETY AND MINISTER FOR SPORT
MP CORRESPONDENCE ACTION SHEET**

☐ Child Safety ☒ Sport and Rec ☐ Stadiums Qld ☐ Electorate Office

Please provide:

☒ Letter of Acknowledgement / Thankyou / Noted
☒ Letter of Response and Correspondence Brief
☐ Briefing Note
☒ Action
☐ Information

☐ Letter of Referral
☐ Meeting Brief
☐ PPQ Brief (email CLLO Unit)
☐ Other

Signed by:

☒ Response: Minister - Honourable Phil Reeves MP
☐ Response: Principal Advisor - Stephen Gay
☐ Response / Acknowledgement: Policy Advisor - Joanna Whitaker
☒ Acknowledgement: Policy Advisor - Christopher Piggott-McKellar / Jordan Wareham

Minister's comments:



Date: / / 2011

Ministerial Advisor's comments:

h4-Signatu
Initials

2 / 11 / 2011

Registered on Mincor ☐

Letter of Acknowledgement ☐

1 / 11

Departmental Reference:

com 15137-2011

Departmental Liaison Officer's comments:

Min response

Initials - Signa

09 / 11 / 2011

Directorate / Work Unit :

SRS

Due Date:

Pages 6 through 11 redacted for the following reasons:

Section 78B(2) RTI Act

RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR

Martyn J Hancock

From: Geno Costin
Sent: Tuesday, 15 March 2011 2:43 PM
To: Martyn J Hancock
Subject: FW: Ripcord Skydivers

Attachments: nigel.fanning@communities.qld.gov.au.vcf

From: Nigel Fanning
Sent: Tuesday, 15 March 2011 11:09 AM
To: Danny Nearhos
Cc: Dana Bradow; Nigel Fanning
Subject: RE: Ripcord Skydivers

Danny,

Ripcord Skydivers.

The club house/classrooms is being transferred to a new owner with a charity status - This is currently in the hands of the Solicitors.

Therefore, the lease was not confirmed at the time of the Flood Event but the process to create the lease had commenced by the Club.

Recommendation: Do not pay any funds until, the new lease has been sighted and confirmed.

sch4-Signature

NIGEL FANNING | ADVISOR

P (07) 461 53675 **F** (07) 461 53607

M (4/6) Personal inform

E nigel.fanning@communities.qld.gov.au

Queensland celebrates its 150th anniversary in 2009
Check out what's on today at www.q150.qld.gov.au



nigel.fanning@communities.qld.gov.au

From: Danny Nearhos
Sent: Monday, 14 March 2011 12:39 PM
To: Dana Bradow; Jo Merrell; Nigel Fanning
Cc: Anita Wilson
Subject:

Hi All,

Just an update on the outstanding info required for FFP projects currently being assessed.

s.73 irrelevant information

s.73 irrelevant information

Let me know if you have dilemma's

Regards,

Danny.

Sport and Recreation Flood Fightback Plan - Infrastructure Program		
Client	Outstanding Items / Comments	SRS Client Manager (responsibility)

South West

s.73 irrelevant information	EFT Form	Dana Bradow
Ripcord Sky Divers	Please confirm with client a lease was in place prior to the flood occuring Dana Bradow for the runway and club house	
s.73 irrelevant information	s.73 irrelevant information	

s.73 irrelevant information

RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR

Pages 14 through 17 redacted for the following reasons:

Section 78B(2) RTI Act

RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR

Nigel Fanning

Ripcord Skydiving

From: Martyn J Hancock
Sent: Wednesday, 16 March 2011 2:23 PM
To: Nigel Fanning
Subject: Ripcord Sky Divers

Nigel as discussed.

Could you please confirm the following questions with the Ripcord Sky Divers

- At the time of the floods who had ownership of the house? J-club -
- At the time of the floods who had ownership of the runaway? J-club -
- I understand the ownership of the house is being transferred into the name of a charity trust (correct?) When is this going to happen and is there an intention of providing a sub-lease to the Sky Diving Club? --
- If a sub-lease is going to be provided to the Sky Diving Club, what will the terms of the lease be?

Please ask the Club to put something in an email back to you so that we can attach it to the file. Thanks for your assistance with this.

Regards - Martyn

Martyn Hancock

Senior Development Officer - Industry Capacity and Events
Sport and Recreation Services
Department of Communities

Level 16, 80 Albert Street, Brisbane, Qld, 4000

PO BOX 15187, City East, Qld 4002

Telephone: 322 22427 **Facsimile:** 322 58151

Email: Martyn.Hancock@communities.qld.gov.au

Tomorrow's Queensland: strong, green, smart, healthy and fair - www.towardQ2.qld.gov.au

EOA's Marcus - Tausies - one@PSP.org.au - ch4p4(6) Personal information

Firstly has foundation very faulty for para-rescue personnel 6th month old
Registered charitable trust

Longer m'cannon - Joe - 5532-4066
Widening

1. Contact 3 weeks ago
2.

Pages 19 through 23 redacted for the following reasons:

Section 78B(2) RTI Act

RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR



Queensland
Government

Our Reference: ML/11/1934

Office of the
Minister for Child Safety
and Minister for Sport

27 JUL 2011

Mr Ian Rickuss MP
Member for Lockyer
Shop 1/47 North Street
GATTON QLD 4343

Ian
Dear Mr Rickuss

I refer to your letter of 26 July 2011 to the Honourable Phil Reeves MP, Minister for Child Safety and Minister for Sport, concerning the Ripcord Sky Divers Flood Fightback grant.

The issues raised in your correspondence are being considered and you will be advised further as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely

sch4-Signature

Phil Weightman
Senior Policy Advisor

Level 7
111 George Street
GPO Box 806 Brisbane
Queensland 4001 Australia
Telephone +61 7 3224 7477
Facsimile +61 7 3210 2190
Email childsafety@ministerial.qld.gov.au
ABN 65 959 415 158

Pages 25 through 61 redacted for the following reasons:

Section 78B(2) RTI Act

RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR

Stacy Hanna

From: Stacy Hanna
Sent: Monday, 21 November 2011 2:52 PM
To: SRS Funding Unit Admin
Cc: Anita Wilson; Nigel Fanning; Danny Nearhos
Subject: Lapsed Payment - Ripcord Skydivers Inc Z_010_00058

Attachments: DOC211111.pdf



DOC211111.pdf
(596 KB)

Good afternoon,

Please find the details for a lapsed payment below and a copy of the summary of collections:

Organisation: Ripcord Skydivers Inc
G2 Number: Z 010 00058
Refunded amount: \$4,297.00 (full amount refunded back)

Could you please lapse this payment.

Thank you

Stacy Hanna | Administration Officer
Sport and Recreation Services - Toowoomba | Department of Communities

Level 5, Easternwell Building
10 Russell Street, TOOWOOMBA QLD 4350
T: 07 4615 3600 | F: 07 4615 3607

RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR

Pages 63 through 83 redacted for the following reasons:

Section 78B(2) RTI Act

RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR

Martyn J Hancock

From: Geno Costin
Sent: Tuesday, 15 March 2011 2:43 PM
To: Martyn J Hancock
Subject: FW: Ripcord Skydivers

Attachments: nigel.fanning@communities.qld.gov.au.vcf

From: Nigel Fanning
Sent: Tuesday, 15 March 2011 11:09 AM
To: Danny Nearhos
Cc: Dana Bradow; Nigel Fanning
Subject: RE: Ripcord Skydivers

Danny,

Ripcord Skydivers.

The club house/classrooms is being transferred to a new owner with a charity status - This is currently in the hands of the Solicitors.

Therefore, the lease was not confirmed at the time of the Flood Event but the process to create the lease had commenced by the Club.

Recommendation: Do not pay any funds until, the new lease has been sighted and confirmed.

sch4-Signature

NIGEL FANNING | ADVISOR

P (07) 461 53675 F (07) 461 53607

M 4(6) Personal inform

E nigel.fanning@communities.qld.gov.au

Queensland celebrates its 150th anniversary in 2009
Check out what's on today at www.q150.qld.gov.au



nigel.fanning@communities.qld.gov.au

From: Danny Nearhos
Sent: Monday, 14 March 2011 12:39 PM
To: Dana Bradow; Jo Merrell; Nigel Fanning
Cc: Anita Wilson
Subject:

s.73 irrelevant information

23/23

For Damage to Clubhouse + training room resulting from Flood.

ON-FILE ASSESSMENT TRACKING SHEET

Client: RIPCORD SKY OVERS G2 No: 121
Client Manager: _____
Project Name: _____

Complete for assessment ☐ Date / /

Referred to Client Manager ☐ Date / /

Client Manager		
Item	Required	Provided (date)
Outstanding Acquittal		
Cost (Quotes - Nil provided)		✓
Cost (Quotes - further)		✓
Land Tenure	✓	
Permission to Build		✓
Outstanding issues with OFT		
GST registration		
EFT form		
Insurance Policy		✓
Evidence (Photos/site visit)		✓
Clarify project scope (priority)		✓

Notes (including any questions raised with Team Leader)

Assessment

	Assessment	Quality Check	Review	Comments/changes (if required)
Name	<u>M. Wright</u>	<u>Martyn H.</u>	<u>Anthony</u>	
Need	<u>M</u> <u>I</u>	<u>M</u> <u>I</u>	<u>M</u> <u>I</u>	
Program Priorities	<u>M</u> <u>I</u>	<u>M</u> <u>I</u>	<u>M</u> <u>I</u>	
Ability to Deliver	<u>M</u> <u>I</u>	<u>M</u> <u>I</u>	<u>M</u> <u>I</u>	
Overall Risk	<u>Medium</u> <u>Ineligible</u>	<u>Medium</u> <u>Ineligible</u>	<u>Medium</u> <u>Ineligible</u>	

General Comments (including any questions raised with Team Leader)
Organisation did not have any tenure over the land during the time of the flood. Land is currently owned by the Club's Chief Instructor. He plans to sell it to a Charitable Trust who are planning on providing the Club a lease, however it is unclear exactly when this will be finalised.

1/6



Application Assessment Report

Application Details

G2 Reference: Z-011-00121

Client Name: Skydive Ripcord Association Incorporated

Project Name: repair clubhouse and training facility to support parachuting at Crowley Vale

Assessment

Risk: Ineligible

Rationale: The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.

Regional Assessment Items				
Category	Criteria	Item	Score	Rationale
Need	1	Need and Participation	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Priorities	2	Program Priorities	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Viability	3	Ability to Deliver	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.

Review

Risk: Ineligible

Rationale: The project was deemed ineligible as the applicant is not eligible to apply for funding under this Program as they do not have suitable tenure over the facilities.

Review Items				
Category	Criteria	Item	Score	Rationale
Need	1	Need and Participation	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Priorities	2	Program Priorities	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Viability	3	Ability to Deliver	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.



Application Assessment Report

Moderated Assessment

G2 Reference: Z-011-00121

Client Name: Skydive Ripcord Association Incorporated

Project Name: repair clubhouse and training facility to support parachuting at Crowley Vale

Priority:

Rationale: The project was deemed ineligible as the applicant is not eligible to apply for funding under this Program as they do not have suitable tenure over the facilities.

Moderated Assessment Items				
Category	Criteria	Item	Score	Rationale
Need	1	Need and Participation	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Priorities	2	Program Priorities	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Viability	3	Ability to Deliver	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.

RTI DL RELEASE

Release

**Sport and Recreation Flood Fightback Plan – Infrastructure Program
ASSESSMENT TOOL**

Conflict of Interest Declaration

It is considered inherent in the assessment process that the assessor has no conflict of interest relating to this application. Any conflict of interest must be identified immediately and the application is to be re-assessed by an independent assessor.

I declare that I have no conflict of interest with this applicant and/or project ☒ Yes ☐ No

Application Details

Applicant's Legal Name	Skydive Ripcord Association Inc
G2 Reference Number	Z-011-00121
Date Application Received	9/3/11

Compliance Check (please tick box if compliant)

☒ Organisation's Name	☒ RP Description
☒ Incorporation Act	☒ Accountable Officer
☒ Incorporation Number	☐ Declaration
☐ ABN	☐ Photos attached
☐ GST	☐ EFT

Notes:

G2 First Stage Data Entry (please tick box when entered into G2)

☒ Organisation Details	☒ Funding Category (Canteen or Office Equipment; Training or Playing Equipment; Infrastructure)
☒ Organisation's Contact Person	☒ Project Description – "This grant is to be used to..." eg. ... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> replace damaged soccer equipment at Emerald rebuild the foundations at the lawn bowls club at Mackay Refer to Program's Administration Guidelines
☒ Accountable Officer	☐ Responsible Dept of Communities Office
☒ Real Property Description	☒ Project Contact Person
☐ EFT	☒ Total Project Cost (\$X,000)
☒ Program (Z-011 – SR Flood Fightback Plan – Equipment)	☒ Amount Requested (\$X,000)
☒ Project Name (Special Initiative – Disaster Assistance)	☐

Entered by: Melanie Toye

Date: 9/3/11

release

4/6

Eligibility Criteria

Yes	No	Underway	Criteria
☒	☐	☐	Project is located within areas affected by the December 2010 and January 2011 floods.
☐	☐	☒	Applicant has demonstrated appropriate tenure over the facility that has suffered flood damage.
☐	☐	☒	Applicant has land owner's permission to undertake proposed project.
☒	☐	☐	Applicant has suffered damage to sport and recreation equipment or infrastructure as a direct result of flooding.
☒	☐	☐	Applicant is a not-for-profit sport or recreation organisation.
☒	☐	☐	Applicant is incorporated.
☐	☒	☐	Applicant has already received insurance assistance for the items claimed.
☐	☒	☐	Applicant has insurance that would cover the damage caused.
☒	☐	☐	Project will assist in re-establishing sport and recreation in the applicant's community

How does the applicant intend to expend approved funds?

☒	Repairing a clubhouse/facility or sporting infrastructure ☒ Clubhouse ☒ Lighting ☒ Playing surfaces Other (provide details below):
☐	Repairing canteen or office infrastructure
☐	Other (provide details below):

How has the damage been evidenced?

☐	Visual inspection by Dept of Communities Officer on ____ (date). Notes/photos attached.
☒	Photographic evidence provided by the applicant.
☐	No evidence provided by the applicant, but confirmed by the attached statement received from a local government or other State government agency.
☐	No evidence provided.

Has documentation been provided?

☒	Documentation provided: ☐ Sketch Design ☐ Scope of Works ☒ Quotes ☐ Invoices (work already carried out)
☐	Other (provide details below):

eleas

5/6

Will the work outlined enable the organisation to be fully operational upon completion?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If no, please outline additional work to be completed and timeframes:

Applicant has detailed required works that are in excess of the program cap.

Recommendation

Yes	No	Criteria
☐	☒	Eligible for funding
		Are all the items claimed by the applicant eligible for funding? ☒ Yes ☐ No
If no, please provide details below:		
Total Funding Requested		\$101948
Total Ineligible		\$101948
Total Eligible		\$0
☒	☐	Recommended for funding (if no, provide a rationale below)
Total amount of funding recommended by the Program Area		\$0

Reason why level of funding is different from requested (if applicable):

Not Recommended Rationale:

The Club did not have any tenure over the site during the period of the flood. The Club is only now looking at securing tenure for part of the house.

Assessed by: M Wright - Martyn Hancock

sch4-Signature

Date: 16/3/2011

Approval By (name/position):

sch4-Signature

Date: 16/3/11

G2 Second Stage Data Entry (please tick box when entered into G2)

☒ Activities	☒ Assessment Rationale (The organisation is recommended for funding as they meet the eligibility criteria for the Program)
☒ Target Groups (e.g. club activities only)	☒ Assessment Criteria Scores (Medium Risk by default)
☒ Source of Other Funds (\$0 by default)	☒ Assessment Funding Summary (Enter recommended amount)
☐ Funding Item (Capital Grant)	☐ Funding Item (Current Grant)

Please note – if an organisation is ineligible for funding, a rationale will need to be written. The organisation will be rated 'Ineligible' during the Moderation process.

Entered by: M Wright

Date: 9/3/2011

6/6

ON-FILE ASSESSMENT TRACKING SHEET

Client: RIPCORD SKY OVERS

G2 No: 121

Client Manager: _____

Project Name: _____

Complete for assessment

☐ Date / /

Referred to Client Manager

☐ Date / /

Client Manager		
Item	Required	Provided (date)
Outstanding Acquittal		
Cost (Quotes – Nil provided)		✓
Cost (Quotes – further)		✓
Land Tenure	✓	
Permission to Build		✓
Outstanding issues with OFT		
GST registration		
EFT form		
Insurance Policy		✓
Evidence (Photos/site visit)		✓
Clarify project scope (priority)		✓

Notes (including any questions raised with Team Leader)

Assessment

	1 st Assessment	Quality Check	Review	Comment changes (if required)
Name	M WRIGHT	Martyn H.	Antony	
Need	M I	M I	M I	
Program Priorities	M I	M I	M I	
Ability to Deliver	M I	M I	M I	
Overall Risk	Medium Ineligible	Medium Ineligible	Medium Ineligible	

General Comments (including any questions raised with Team Leader)

Organisation did not have any tenure over the land during the time of the flood. Land is currently owned by the Club's Chief Instructor. He plans to sell it to a Charitable Trust who are planning on providing the Club a lease, however it is unclear exactly when this will be finalised.

Application Assessment Report

Moderated Assessment

G2 Reference: Z-011-00121

Client Name: Skydive Ripcord Association Incorporated

Project Name: repair clubhouse and training facility to support parachuting at Crowley Vale

Priority:

Rationale: The project was deemed ineligible as the applicant is not eligible to apply for funding under this Program as they do not have suitable tenure over the facilities,

Moderated Assessment Items				
Category	Criteria	Item	Score	Rationale
Need	1	Need and Participation	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Priorities	2	Program Priorities	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Viability	3	Ability to Deliver	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.

RTI DL RELEASED UNDER MP SR



Application Assessment Report

Application Details

G2 Reference: Z-011-00121
Client Name: Skydive Ripcord Association Incorporated
Project Name: repair clubhouse and training facility to support parachuting at Crowley Vale

Assessment

Risk: Ineligible
Rationale: The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.

Regional Assessment Items				
Category	Criteria	Item	Score	Rationale
Need	1	Need and Participation	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Priorities	2	Program Priorities	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Viability	3	Ability to Deliver	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.

Review

Risk: Ineligible
Rationale: The project was deemed ineligible as the applicant is not eligible to apply for funding under this Program as they do not have suitable tenure over the facilities.

Review Items				
Category	Criteria	Item	Score	Rationale
Need	1	Need and Participation	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Priorities	2	Program Priorities	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.
Viability	3	Ability to Deliver	1	The organisation is not eligible for funding as they did not have suitable tenure over the facilities during the time of the flood.

**Sport and Recreation Flood Fightback Plan – Infrastructure Program
ASSESSMENT TOOL**

Conflict of Interest Declaration

It is considered inherent in the assessment process that the assessor has no conflict of interest relating to this application. Any conflict of interest must be identified immediately and the application is to be re-assessed by an independent assessor.

I declare that I have no conflict of interest with this applicant and/or project ☒ Yes ☐ No

Application Details

Applicant's Legal Name	Skydive Ripcord Association Inc
G2 Reference Number	Z-011-00121
Date Application Received	9/3/11

Compliance Check (please tick box if compliant)

☒ Organisation's Name	☒ RP Description
☒ Incorporation Act	☒ Accountable Officer
☒ Incorporation Number	☐ Declaration
☐ ABN	☐ Photos attached
☐ GST	☐ EFT

Notes:

G2 First Stage Data Entry (please tick box when entered into G2)

☒ Organisation Details	☒ Funding Category (Canteen or Office Equipment; Training or Playing Equipment; Infrastructure)
☒ Organisation's Contact Person	☒ Project Description – "This grant is to be used to....." eg. ... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> replace damaged soccer equipment at Emerald rebuild the foundations at the lawn bowls club at Mackay Refer to Program's Administration Guidelines
☒ Accountable Officer	☐ Responsible Dept of Communities Office
☒ Real Property Description	☒ Project Contact Person
☐ EFT	☒ Total Project Cost (\$X,000)
☒ Program (Z-011 – SR Flood Fightback Plan – Equipment)	☒ Amount Requested (\$X,000)
☒ Project Name (Special Initiative – Disaster Assistance)	☐

Entered by: Melanie Toye

Date: 9/3/11

Eligibility Criteria

Yes	No	Underway	Criteria
☒	☐	☐	Project is located within areas affected by the December 2010 and January 2011 floods.
☐	☐	☒	Applicant has demonstrated appropriate tenure over the facility that has suffered flood damage.
☐	☐	☒	Applicant has land owner's permission to undertake proposed project.
☒	☐	☐	Applicant has suffered damage to sport and recreation equipment or infrastructure as a direct result of flooding.
☒	☐	☐	Applicant is a not-for-profit sport or recreation organisation.
☒	☐	☐	Applicant is incorporated.
☐	☒	☐	Applicant has already received insurance assistance for the items claimed.
☐	☒	☐	Applicant has insurance that would cover the damage caused.
☒	☐	☐	Project will assist in re-establishing sport and recreation in the applicant's community.

How does the applicant intend to expend approved funds?

☒	Repairing a clubhouse/facility or sporting infrastructure ☒ Clubhouse ☒ Lighting ☒ Playing surfaces Other (provide details below):
☐	Repairing canteen or office infrastructure
☐	Other (provide details below):

How has the damage been evidenced?

☐	Visual inspection by Dept of Communities Officer on ____ (date). Notes/photos attached.
☒	Photographic evidence provided by the applicant.
☐	No evidence provided by the applicant, but confirmed by the attached statement received from a local government or other State government agency.
☐	No evidence provided.

Has documentation been provided?

☒	Documentation provided: ☐ Sketch Design ☐ Scope of Works ☒ Quotes ☐ Invoices (work already carried out)
☐	Other (provide details below):

eleas

Will the work outlined enable the organisation to be fully operational upon completion?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If no, please outline additional work to be completed and timeframes:

Applicant has detailed required works that are in excess of the program cap.

Recommendation

Yes	No	Criteria
☐	☒	Eligible for funding
Are all the items claimed by the applicant eligible for funding? ☒ Yes ☐ No		
If no, please provide details below:		
Total Funding Requested		\$101948
Total Ineligible		\$101948
Total Eligible		\$0
☒	☐	Recommended for funding (if no, provide a rationale below)
Total amount of funding recommended by the Program Area		\$0

Reason why level of funding is different from requested (if applicable):

--

Not Recommended Rationale:

The Club did not have any tenure over the site during the period of the flood. The Club is only now looking at securing tenure for part of the house.

Assessed by: M Wright - Martin Hancock	sch4-Signature <i>16/3</i>	Date: 16/3/2011
Approval By (name/position):	sch4-Signature	Date: <i>16/3/11</i>

G2 Second Stage Data Entry (please tick box when entered into G2)

☒ Activities	☒ Assessment Rationale (The organisation is recommended for funding as they meet the eligibility criteria for the Program)
☒ Target Groups (e.g. club activities only)	☒ Assessment Criteria Scores (Medium Risk by default)
☒ Source of Other Funds (\$0 by default)	☒ Assessment Funding Summary (Enter recommended amount)
☐ Funding Item (Capital Grant)	☐ Funding Item (Current Grant)
Please note – if an organisation is ineligible for funding, a rationale will need to be written. The organisation will be rated 'Ineligible' during the Moderation process.	

Entered by: M Wright	Date: 9/3/2011
----------------------	----------------

**Sport and Recreation Flood Fightback Plan – Infrastructure Program
ASSESSMENT TOOL**

Conflict of Interest Declaration

It is considered inherent in the assessment process that the assessor has no conflict of interest relating to this application. Any conflict of interest must be identified immediately and the application is to be re-assessed by an independent assessor.

I declare that I have no conflict of interest with this applicant and/or project ☒ Yes ☐ No

Application Details

Applicant's Legal Name	Skydive Ripcord Association Inc
G2 Reference Number	Z-011-00121
Date Application Received	9/3/11

Compliance Check (please tick box if compliant)

☒ Organisation's Name	☒ RP Description
☒ Incorporation Act	☒ Accountable Officer
☒ Incorporation Number	☐ Declaration
☐ ABN	☐ Photos attached
☐ GST	☐ EFT

Notes:

G2 First Stage Data Entry (please tick box when entered into G2)

☒ Organisation Details	☒ Funding Category (Canteen or Office Equipment; Training or Playing Equipment; Infrastructure)
☒ Organisation's Contact Person	☒ Project Description – "This grant is to be used to....." eg. ... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> replace damaged soccer equipment at Emerald rebuild the foundations at the lawn bowls club at Mackay Refer to Program's Administration Guidelines
☒ Accountable Officer	☐ Responsible Dept of Communities Office
☒ Real Property Description	☒ Project Contact Person
☐ EFT	☒ Total Project Cost (\$X,000)
☒ Program (Z-011 – SR Flood Fightback Plan – Equipment)	☒ Amount Requested (\$X,000)
☒ Project Name (Special Initiative – Disaster Assistance)	☐

Entered by: Melanie Toye

Date: 9/3/11

Release

Eligibility Criteria

Yes	No	Underway	Criteria
☒	☐	☐	Project is located within areas affected by the December 2010 and January 2011 floods.
☐	☐	☒	Applicant has demonstrated appropriate tenure over the facility that has suffered flood damage.
☐	☐	☒	Applicant has land owner's permission to undertake proposed project.
☒	☐	☐	Applicant has suffered damage to sport and recreation equipment or infrastructure as a direct result of flooding.
☒	☐	☐	Applicant is a not-for-profit sport or recreation organisation.
☒	☐	☐	Applicant is incorporated.
☐	☒	☐	Applicant has already received insurance assistance for the items claimed.
☐	☒	☐	Applicant has insurance that would cover the damage caused.
☒	☐	☐	Project will assist in re-establishing sport and recreation in the applicant's community

How does the applicant intend to expend approved funds?

☒	Repairing a clubhouse/facility or sporting infrastructure ☒ Clubhouse ☒ Lighting ☒ Playing surfaces Other (provide details below):
☐	Repairing canteen or office infrastructure
☐	Other (provide details below):

How has the damage been evidenced?

☐	Visual inspection by Dept of Communities Officer on ____ (date). Notes/photos attached.
☒	Photographic evidence provided by the applicant.
☐	No evidence provided by the applicant, but confirmed by the attached statement received from a local government or other State government agency.
☐	No evidence provided.

Has documentation been provided?

☒	Documentation provided: ☐ Sketch Design ☐ Scope of Works ☒ Quotes ☐ Invoices (work already carried out)
☐	Other (provide details below):

Will the work outlined enable the organisation to be fully operational upon completion?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If no, please outline additional work to be completed and timeframes:

Applicant has detailed required works that are in excess of the program cap.

Recommendation	
Yes	No
☒	☐
Eligible for funding	
Are all the items claimed by the applicant eligible for funding? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If no, please provide details below:	
Total Funding Requested	\$101948
Total Ineligible	\$9268
Total Eligible	\$92680
☒	☐
Recommended for funding (if no, provide a rationale below)	
Total amount of funding recommended by the Program Area	\$60000
Reason why level of funding is different from requested (if applicable):	
Applicant has included GST in all indicated amounts.	
Not Recommended Rationale:	
Assessed by: M Wright	Date: 9/3/2011
sch4-Signature	
Approval By (name/position):	Date:
G2 Second Stage Data Entry (please tick box when entered into G2)	
☒ Activities	☒ Assessment Rationale (The organisation is recommended for funding as they meet the eligibility criteria for the Program)
☒ Target Groups (e.g. club activities only)	☒ Assessment Criteria Scores (Medium Risk by default)
☒ Source of Other Funds (\$0 by default)	☒ Assessment Funding Summary (Enter recommended amount)
☐ Funding Item (Capital Grant)	☐ Funding Item (Current Grant)
Please note – if an organisation is ineligible for funding, a rationale will need to be written. The organisation will be rated 'Ineligible' during the Moderation process.	
Entered by: M Wright	Date: 9/3/2011

























RTI DL RELEASE - NPSR