

COPY



Department of
**Environment and
Heritage Protection**

File/Ref: 12-122

3 January 2013

Ms Deborah Brennan
Corrs Chambers Westgarth

sch 4 - personal information

Dear Ms Brennan

Right to information application number – 12-122

I acknowledge receipt of your information access application received on 4 September 2012 requesting access to documents held by the Department of Environment and Heritage Protection (EHP). I confirm you are seeking access to:

- '1. All documentation (including emails, phone records, briefing notes, requests for statutory approval) relating to the Department of Environment and Heritage Protection's (formally the Department of Environment and Resource Management) (together the Department):
(a) decision to conduct the audit that was carried out by the Department at the Linc Energy Ltd facility at 357 Kummerows Road, Chinchilla, Queensland (Linc Energy Site) on or about 29 May 2012 (the Audit); and
(b) conduct of and findings of the Audit, including subsequent internal correspondence and communications within the Department in relation to the Audit.*
- 2. All documentation (including emails, phone records, briefing notes, requests for statutory approval) relating to the issuing by the Department of an environmental protection order to Linc Energy Ltd on or about 24 August 2012 and an environmental protection order to Linc Energy Ltd on or about 28 August 2012.*
- 3. All documentation evidencing communications and/or correspondence between the Department and persons who have made complaints to the Department in relation to alleged odours from the Linc Energy Site between 1 April 2011 and 28 August 2012 (including any subsequent communications between such complainants and the Department).'*

I refer to your telephone conversation with Mrs Jane Patu of this office on 10 December 2012 confirming that you are acting on behalf of Linc Energy.

Authority to make decisions

I am an officer authorised by the Director-General under section 30(2) of the Act to make decisions concerning the provisions of the Act. The Director-General is the principal officer of this Department.

Level 3
400 George Street Brisbane Qld 4000
GPO Box 2454 Brisbane Qld 4001
Australia
Telephone + 61 7 3330 6111
Facsimile + 61 7 3033 0930
Website: www.ehp.qld.gov.au
ABN 46 640 294 485

Search results

A search was conducted of the records management system and physical searches were made of the pertinent areas of the department which revealed one collection relating to your application.

Statutory timeframe

Unfortunately, due to the current workload in this unit, we have been unable to finalise your application within the statutory timeframe. Please accept my apology for any inconvenience this may have caused.

Section 35(3) of the Act permits an agency to continue to process an application and issue a valid decision unless the applicant refuses the request for an extension, or applies to the Information Commissioner to have the issue externally reviewed, on the grounds the agency is deemed to have refused to release the documents (known as a "deemed decision"). Given this, I have continued to process this application as I have not received advice to the contrary.

Thank you for agreeing to the extension of time on this decision.

Considered decision

The department holds a total of 959 pages that have been provided for processing your request. Of these pages, 610 are to be released to you in full. Under schedule 3 of the Act I have decided to release 12 pages with some parts deleted and to refuse access to 10 pages in full, as exempt matter. I have also decided to withhold the release of 223 pages in part and 13 pages in full under schedule 4, as release would be contrary to the public interest.

In addition, six pages have been excluded as duplicates/copies and 103 pages in parts and three pages in full have been deemed irrelevant.

The attached schedule outlines a disclosure decision on all pages at issue.

The attached schedule outlines a disclosure decision on all pages at issue. Please note that as some pages contain multiple exemption provisions or public interest factors, the combined number of pages is greater than the total.

Consultation

Pursuant to section 37 of the Act, I advise that formal consultations with third parties have been undertaken in the processing of this application.

In response a third party objected to the release of some matter and I have decided to uphold the objections raised.

Reasons for decision

A detailed statement of reasons for my decision is at Attachment A.

Processing charges

I refer to the charges estimate notice dated 21 September 2012 for the amount of \$818.75 and advice received on 5 October 2012 accepting the assessment charges however, I note on this advice you amended the notice to exclude audio recording time.

The final assessment of charges for processing your application is as follows:

ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED HOURS
Searching	Searches in units	8
Activities related to making a decision	Section 37 Consultations	1
Decision-making	Examining documents and characterising matter in issue, applying RTI Act (959 pages @ 60 pages per hour)	15.98
	Making decision	1
TOTAL HOURS		25.98
25.98 hours @ \$6.25per each 15 minute period or part thereof		\$650.00
Total <u>Final</u> Assessment		\$650.00

You will note that there were more documents processed than what was initially estimated. However, section 61 of the Act does not allow for the amount of the final processing charge to exceed the total amount of the Charges Estimate Notice.

The amount of **\$542.00** is now due for payment.

Access charges

On payment of the outstanding processing charge the documents will be provided to you on a compact disk at no charge.

Payment details

Payment for outstanding monies may be made by credit card or cheque.

A cheque should be forwarded to the following mailing address and made payable to: 'Department of Environment and Heritage Protection':

Right to Information Services
Department of Environment and Heritage Protection
GPO Box 2454
Brisbane Qld 4001
Attention: Mrs Jane Patu

To arrange payment by credit card please contact your Case Manager.

If you fail to seek access to the pages within 40 business days of the date of this decision notice your application will be deemed to be finalised, however the outstanding processing charge must be paid, as agreed.

Disclosure log

Section 78 of the Act provides for documents released under an RTI application to be published in a disclosure log that is available on the department's website. Consequently, any documents released in response to this application may be included in the disclosure log either after you access these documents or after your right of access lapses.

Review rights

If you are dissatisfied with this decision, you have the right to apply to the department for an internal review, as per section 82 of the Act. There is no charge for applying for an internal review.

You may apply for an external review direct to the Office of the Information Commissioner (OIC), irrespective of whether the department has internally reviewed the decision or not. There is no charge for applying for an external review.

The request must be in writing and be received by this department or the OIC within 20 business days of the date of this decision. I expect that date to be on or about 31 January 2013.

More details of review rights are given on the attached explanatory sheet.

Should you have any further enquiries, please do not hesitate to contact your Case Manager, Mrs Jane Patu on telephone 3330 6322.

Yours sincerely

sch 4 - signature

Julie MacPherson
Principal Project Officer
Right to Information Services

Att.

Statement of Reasons

Application No. 12-122

Section 48 – Exempt information

Section 48 of the *Right to Information Act 2009* (RTI Act) provides that information can be withheld if its release ‘...would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.’

Schedule 3 – Exempt information, sets out the type of information the disclosure of which, on balance, would be contrary to the public interest.

Information subject to legal professional privilege

Schedule 3 section 7 provides that information is exempt information if it would disclose information subject to legal professional privilege.

Matter considered exempt under schedule 3 section 7

Legal professional privilege (also called client legal privilege) protects confidential communications between a professional legal adviser and his or her client in the course of:

- seeking or obtaining professional legal advice, or
- preparing for existing or anticipated proceedings in a court.

Under the ‘dominant purpose’ principle endorsed by the High Court of Australia in the case of *Re Esso*, legal professional privilege (privilege) attaches to a communication between a lawyer and a client made for the *primary* purpose of obtain legal advice and/or of preparing for a legal proceeding. A document can therefore still attract privilege, even though it contains some information (such as policy advice or personal exchanges) that would not be privileged if viewed in isolation.

Privilege also protects communications between a client and someone other than his or her lawyer if these conditions are satisfied. Consequently, a record of a communication between a lawyer’s intermediary (such as an employee or agent) and a client in the course of obtaining legal advice or services may still not be released to a third party.

Privilege can attach to confidential communications by and with ‘in-house’ legal officers in government agencies who are performing the duties of a legal adviser. Deane J in *Re Waterford* suggested that the privilege would be restricted to government-employed legal advisers ‘... who, in addition to any academic or other practical qualifications, were listed on a roll of current practitioners, held a current practising certificate, or worked under supervision of such a person.’ⁱⁱ

I currently have before me the following categories of documents, each of which potentially attracts privilege:

- a request for legal advice
- a copy of a legal advice
- attachments to a request for legal advice and/or the resultant advice
- documents provided to or obtained by a lawyer in the course of preparing a legal advice or for a legal proceeding
- drafts of documents that attract privilege.

After consideration, I have concluded that those documents that fall within these categories are subject to legal professional privilege and therefore to schedule 3 section 7 of the RTI Act.

I determined that they are exempt from release under schedule 3 section 7 of the RTI Act and are marked 'sch3(7)...' on the schedule. I therefore refuse access under section 47(3)(a)ⁱⁱⁱ of the RTI Act as these documents contain exempt information under section 48 of the RTI Act.

Section 49 – Contrary to public interest

Section 49 of the *Right to Information Act 2009* (RTI Act) provides that information can be withheld if its release '*...would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.*' In reaching that conclusion, the decision maker must:

- disregard the irrelevant factors specified in the RTI Act^{iv}
- determine if the balance of the public interest favours releasing the information, by balancing those factors favouring disclosure^v against those that do not.^{vi}

In applying this test, a decision maker must also be conscious of the pro-disclosure bias in section 44(4) of the RTI Act^{vii}.

When reviewing the documents at issue, I disregarded the irrelevant factors. I then concluded that releasing the information could reasonably be expected to:

- promote open discussion of public affairs and enhance the Government's accountability
- contribute to positive and informed debate on important issues or matters of serious interest
- inform the community of the Government's operations
- contribute to public oversight of expenditure of public funds (as it would reveal the activities government undertakes on behalf of the public).

I have decided to release the majority of the information in the documents as I believe there are no arguments against disclosure of sufficient weight that, on balance, they outweigh those favouring release.

Private information

The *Right to Information Act 2009* (RTI Act) provides that disclosing personal information may not be in the public interest^{viii}. Personal information is defined in the *Information Privacy Act 2009* as information about a person whose identity is apparent or can reasonably be ascertained from the information^{ix}. It is therefore a very broad concept that captures any

information that can be linked to an identifiable individual. I believe, a great deal of personal information is insufficiently sensitive for it to outweigh the factors favouring disclosure, particularly given the pro-disclosure bias in the RTI Act.

While the arguments against withholding personal information are often weak, that is not always the case. The RTI Act also provides for information to be withheld if its release could prejudice a person's right to privacy^x. The Information Commissioner (the Commissioner) has not determined what constitutes privacy in the RTI context. However, in the decision in *Re Stewart*^{xi} the Commissioner stated that the concept of personal affairs in the predecessor to the RTI Act, the *Freedom of Information Act 1992* (repealed) (the FOI Act) captures information '*relating to the private aspects of a person's life*'^{xii}. I therefore believe that personal affairs and privacy are essentially the same concept.

In numerous decisions, the Commissioner has emphasised the sensitivity of personal affairs information. It is that same sensitivity that serves as a strong argument that disclosing private information would not serve the public interest.

Signatures

The documents in question contain a number of signatures. In *Re Stewart* the Commissioner held that a person's signature is *prima facie* personal affairs matter for the purposes of section 44(1) of the FOI Act. In doing so, he cited the Commonwealth Administrative Appeals Tribunal's (the AAT) reasons in *Re Corkin*^{xiii} in which the AAT argued:

'14. The signature is of paramount importance in one's activities in banking and financial matters, correspondence, the making of applications, the giving of receipts. It constitutes the bond whereby one may be held responsible to others, to corporations, to government; and the authorization upon which others may depend for providing goods or services. Its exclusiveness and privacy to the individual are the essence of its worth to him. The owner of a signature is entitled to control the extent of its circulation...It is I consider, in an especially marked way – private to the individual, one's own...'

I accept the argument in *Re Corkin* cited in *Re Stewart*. At paragraph 15 of *Re Corkin*, the AAT stated: '*...The signature in question was ex facie appended to a Departmental form...It was not willingly published to the world...'*

I believe this is a weighty argument as to why a person's signature should be protected, such that it should not be disclosed under the RTI Act unless there are particularly strong countervailing arguments. In this instance, though, the factors favouring disclosure outlined previously are of insufficient weight, both individually and collectively, to justify the potential prejudice to the signatory's privacy.

Addresses

In *Re Stewart*, the Commissioner stated that:

'88 ... The address at which a person chooses to reside and make their home seems to me to fall within that zone of domestic affairs which is clearly central to the concept of "personal affairs". A business address would be materially different.'

This point was reiterated in *Re Pearce*, in which the Commissioner emphasised that the privacy attaches to the location of a person's residence. Consequently, while a Post Office Box is not private information, a business address can be if it doubles as a residential address (such as a farm homestead)^{xiv}. Folio ?? contains a residential address.

Given the principles in *Re Stewart* and *Re Pearce*, I have decided that that information is private to the residents of the property. Further, after considering the evidence currently before, I have concluded that the factors favouring disclosure outlined previously are insufficiently weighty, either individually or collectively, to justify the potential prejudice to the privacy of those residents.

Telephone numbers

In *Re Stewart*, the Commissioner argued that a person's telephone number is often not private information^{xv}. However, he recognised that a final determination can only be made on a case-by-case basis.

In this case, I believe the mobile telephone numbers constitutes private information.

After consideration I have concluded that the factors favouring disclosure outlined previously are insufficiently weighty, either individually or collectively, to justify the potential prejudice to the privacy of the telephone owner.

This has led me to conclude that the balance of the public interest does not favour the disclosure of this of other personal information in question. I have therefore exercised the power available to me under section 47(3)(b)^{xvi} of the RTI Act, and have decided not to release these pages identified in the schedule as 'sch4p3(3)' under section 49 of the RTI Act.

Deletion of irrelevant information

Section 73 of the Act provides:

'73 Deletion of irrelevant information

- (1) *This section applies if giving access to a document will disclose to the applicant information the agency or Minister reasonably considers is not relevant to the access application for the document.*
- (2) *The agency or Minister may delete the irrelevant information from a copy of the document and give access to the document by giving access to a copy of the document with the irrelevant information deleted.*
- (3) *However, the agency or Minister may give access to the document under subsection (2) only if the agency or Minister considers, from the terms of the application or after consultation with the applicant—*
 - (a) the applicant would accept the copy; and*
 - (b) it is reasonably practicable to give access to the copy.*

Based on the information contained in your application, I consider I have a clear understanding of the type of information sought in your request.

The material that I have excluded from release is information I have determined falls outside the scope of the request and I have decided that this information is irrelevant to the application.

I have excluded some material from release which I have determined falls outside the scope of your request and is irrelevant to your application^{xvii}. Where applicable, matter that is irrelevant to your request has been removed. The information removed did not directly relate to your application, but rather related to other unrelated processes within the department.

The parts of the pages from which irrelevant information has been removed are identified in the Schedule as 's.73'.

sch 4 - signature

Julie MacPherson
Principal Project Officer
Right to Information Services

RTI DL RELEASE - EHP

ⁱ *Esso Australia Resources Limited v The Commissioner of Taxation* (1999) HCA 67 (*Re Esso*)

ⁱⁱ *Waterford v Commonwealth of Australia* (1987) HCA 25 (*Re Waterford*), paragraph 5

ⁱⁱⁱ Section 47 (3) of the RTI Act provides:

Grounds on which access may be refused

...

- (3) On an application, an agency may refuse access to a document of the agency and a Minister may refuse access to a document of the Minister—
(a) to the extent the document comprises exempt information under section 48...

^{iv} Schedule 4, part 1 of the RTI Act provides:

Part 1 Factors irrelevant to deciding the public interest

- 1 Disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to cause embarrassment to the Government or to cause a loss of confidence in the Government.
- 2 Disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to result in the applicant misinterpreting or misunderstanding the document.
- 3 Disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to result in mischievous conduct by the applicant.
- 4 The person who created the document containing the information was or is of high seniority within the agency.

^v Including those in schedule 4, part 2 of the RTI Act

^{vi} Including those in schedule 4, parts 3 and 4 of the RTI Act

^{vii} Section 44(4) of the RTI Act provides:

44 Pro-disclosure bias in deciding access to documents

- (4) However, it is the Parliament's intention that this Act should be administered with a pro-disclosure bias and an agency or Minister may give access to a document even if this Act provides that access to the document may be refused.

^{viii} Schedule 4, part 4, item 6(1) of the RTI Act provides:

6 Disclosing personal information

- (1) Disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to cause a public interest harm if disclosure would disclose personal information of a person, whether living or dead.

^{ix} Section 12 of the *Information Privacy Act 2009* provides:

12 Meaning of personal information

Personal information is information or an opinion, including information or an opinion forming part of a database, whether true or not, and whether recorded in a material form or not, about an individual whose identity is apparent, or can reasonably be ascertained, from the information or opinion.

^x Schedule 4, part 3, item 3 of the RTI Act provides:

Part 3 Factors favouring nondisclosure in the public interest

3. Disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to prejudice the protection of an individual's right to privacy.

^{xi} *Stewart and Department of Transport* (1993) 1 QAR 227 (*Re Stewart*)

^{xii} *Re Stewart*:

55. I consider that the adjective "personal" in "personal affairs" is really meant to capture the ordinary meaning which is conveyed when an individual responds to an intrusive question by a stranger or a government official with a retort such as: "I am not prepared to give you that information; it's personal". It is the first meaning from the *Collins English Dictionary* (Aust. Ed.) quoted above (i.e., "of or relating to the private aspects of a person's life") which in my opinion is most apposite to convey the ordinary meaning of the word "personal" in the phrase "personal affairs" in the different contexts in which it is used in the Queensland FOI Act.

^{xiii} *Corkin and Department of Immigration and Ethnic Affairs* (1984) 2 AAR 214 (*Re Corkin*)

^{xiv} *Pearce and Queensland Rural Adjustment Authority; Various Landholders* (Third Parties) (1999) 5 QAR 242 (*Re Pearce*), paragraphs

^{xv} *Re Stewart*, paragraphs 86-7.

^{xvi} Section 47 (3) of the RTI Act provides:

Grounds on which access may be refused

...

(3) On an application, an agency may refuse access to a document of the agency and a Minister may refuse access to a document of the Minister—

...

(b) to the extent the document comprises information the disclosure of which would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest under section 49.

^{xvii} Section 73 of the RTI Act provides:

73 Deletion of irrelevant information

- (1) This section applies if giving access to a document will disclose to the applicant information the agency or Minister reasonably considers is not relevant to the access application for the document.
- (2) The agency or Minister may delete the irrelevant information from a copy of the document and give access to the document by giving access to a copy of the document with the irrelevant information deleted.
- (3) However, the agency or Minister may give access to the document under subsection (2) only if the agency or Minister considers, from the terms of the application or after consultation with the applicant—
 - (a) the applicant would accept the copy; and
 - (b) it is reasonably practicable to give access to the copy.

Schedule One
RTI Application No. 12-122
Applicant: Linc Energy

Collection 1
Total Pages = 694

Page#	Access type	Disclosure Decision
1	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
2-11	Full	Release
12	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
13-22	Full	Release
23	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
24-27	Full	Release
28	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
29-59	Full	Release
60-64	Page	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
65	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
65	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
66-69	Full	Release
70	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
71	Page	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
72-73	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
74-88	Full	Release
89	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
90-103	Full	Release
104	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
105-156	Full	Release
157	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
158-170	Full	Release
171	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
172-174	Full	Release
175-181	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
182-212	Full	Release
213	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
214-219	Full	Release
220	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
221-228	Full	Release
229	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
230-232	Full	Release
233	Part	s.73
233-234	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
235	Part	s.73
235-237	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
238	Part	s.73
238	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
239	Full	Release
240	Part	s.73
241-242	Full	Release
243	Part	s.73
243	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy

Schedule One
RTI Application No. 12-122
Applicant: Linc Energy

244-245	Full	Release
246	Part	s.73
247-248	Full	Release
249-250	Part	s.73
251	Full	Release
252	Part	s.73
253	Full	Release
254	Part	s.73
255	Full	Release
256	Part	s.73
257-258	Full	Release
259	Part	s.73
260	Full	Release
261-262	Part	s.73
263	Full	Release
264-268	Part	s.73
269	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
270-276	Part	s.73
277	Full	Release
278	Part	s.73
279	Full	Release
280	Part	s.73
280	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
281	Part	s.73
282	Full	Release
283	Part	s.73
283	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
284-285	Part	s.73
285-286	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
287	Full	Release
288-291	Part	s.73
291	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
292	Full	Release
293	Part	s.73
294	Full	Release
295	Part	s.73
295	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
296-297	Part	s.73
297	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
298-299	Part	s.73
300	Full	Release
301-305	Page	s.73
306	Full	Release
307	Part	s.73
307-308	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
309	Part	s.73
310-311	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
312	Part	s.73

Schedule One
RTI Application No. 12-122
Applicant: Linc Energy

313-314	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
315	Part	s.73
315-316	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
317-322	Part	s.73
323	Full	Release
324	Part	s.73
325	Full	Release
326	Part	s.73
327	Full	Release
328-330	Part	s.73
331-332	Full	Release
333	Part	s.73
334-335	Full	Release
336-337	Part	s.73
338	Full	Release
339	Part	s.73
340	Full	Release
341-342	Part	s.73
343	Full	Release
344-346	Part	s.73
347	Full	Release
348	Part	s.73
349	Full	Release
350-351	Part	s.73
351	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
352	Part	s.73
353	Full	Release
354	Part	s.73
354-355	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
356	Part	s.73
356	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
357	Part	s.73
357	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
358-359	Part	s.73
359	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
360	Part	s.73
360	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
361	Part	s.73
361-362	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
363-366	Part	s.73
366	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
367-369	Part	s.73
370-372	Full	Release
373-376	Part	s.73
377-378	Full	Release
379	Part	s.73
380-381	Full	Release
382	Part	s.73

Schedule One
RTI Application No. 12-122
Applicant: Linc Energy

383-385	Full	Release
386	Part	s.73
387	Full	Release
388-389	Part	s.73
390	Full	Release
391-397	Part	s.73
398-421	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
422	Full	Release
423-424	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
425	Full	Release
426-427	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
428	Full	Release
429-432	Page	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
433-435	Full	Release
436-446	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
447-465	Full	Release
466-477	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
478-481	Full	Release
482-498	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
499	Full	Release
500	Page	Copy pg 495
501	Page	Copy pg 496
502	Page	Copy pg 497
503	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
504-506	Full	Release
507	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
508-514	Full	Release
515-520	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
521	Full	Release
522-541	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
542	Full	Release
543-545	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
546-548	Full	Release
549-555	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
556	Page	Copy pg 552-554
557	Page	Copy pg 552-554
558	Page	Copy pg 552-554
559-567	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
568	Full	Release
569-571	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
572	Full	Release
573	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
574	Page	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
575	Full	Release
576-589	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
590	Full	Release
591-595	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
596-604	Full	Release

Schedule One
RTI Application No. 12-122
Applicant: Linc Energy

605-607	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
608-610	Full	Release
611-612	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
613-616	Full	Release
617	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
618	Full	Release
619	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
620	Full	Release
621	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
622	Full	Release
623-626	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
627-628	Full	Release
629-632	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
633-651	Full	Release
652-654	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
655	Part	sch3(7) Information subject to legal professional privilege
656	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
657	Full	Release
658	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
659-665	Full	Release
666	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
667	Full	Release
668-671	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
672	Full	Release
673-684	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
685	Full	Release
686-688	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
689	Full	Release
690	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
691	Full	Release
692	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
693-694	Full	Release

Collection 2		
Total Pages = 265		
Page#	Access type	Disclosure Decision
1-12	Full	Release
13	Part	s.73
14-17	Full	Release
18-23	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
24-53	Full	Release
54-56	Part	sch4p3(3) Prejudice the protection of an individuals right to privacy
57-265	Full	Release